

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/032,196 | 12/21/2001 | Michael Childs | 1528.010US1 | 4927 |
| 21186 | 7590 07/12/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. | | | NGUYEN, THU V | |
| P.O. BOX 293 | 8 IS, MN 55402 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MININEALOE | 15, WIN 55402 | | 3661 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | / | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/032,196 | CHILDS ET AL. | | | | | |
| · | Examiner | Art Unit | N/J | | | | |
| | Thu Nguyen | 3661 | \ X / | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress 🎢 | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply h places the applica | y to a tion in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of ti 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Ci | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main three months after the main three months. | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper the final of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final of the fin | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below): | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claim: | s | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | .g a compoperium g mannoch of m | many rejected claim | . | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | · · · —— | eparate, timely filed | amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NO | T place the | | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly | | | | |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 9-15 and 38. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>19 and 25</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,16,17,20-24,26,29-37,39 and 40</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>5/28/04</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 4 | , | | | | |
| - | | Nguyen | une_ | | | | |

THU V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 2. NOTE: added limitations need further consideration and search. Further, with respect to claim 16, although the indicated allowable claim 19 has been incorporated into claim 16, claim 16 omits certain limitation, the scope of the claim need further consideration as well.